(3)

Supreme Court, U.S.
FILED

01 237 JUN - 4 2001

NO. OFFICE OF THE CLERK

IN THE

SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

JUAN P. CEDILLO, PRESIDENT

UNITED STATES COUNTRER-INSURGENT

ASSOCIATION OF JUSTICE, INC. – Petitioner

vs.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA – Respondent

ON PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI TO

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

FOR THE EIGHTH CIRCUIT

ADDEMDUM APPENDIX TO

PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

JUAN P. CEDILLO

1215 GRAND AVENUE

KANSAS CITY, MISSOURI 64106

1-816-221-0341

488

ADDENDUM APPENDIX TO PETITION FOR
WRIT OF CERTIORARI FILED JUNE 4, 2001

The following Addendum to the Appendix of the Petition for Writ of Certiorari filed on June 4, 2001 with the Supreme Court of the United States as captioned is by direction of the Court Clerk.

ADDED APPENDIX

The Per Curiam Order of the United States Court of Appeals for the Eighth Circuit in Juan Cedillo v. United States of America, Case Number 99-4269 is designated Appendix "D".

Respectfully submitted,



Juan Cedillo

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS
FOR THE EIGHTH CIRCUIT

NO. 99-4269

Juan Cedillo, President, United States Counter-Insurgent Association of Justice, Inc.,	*
Appellant,	* Appeal from the United States District Court for the Western District of Missouri.
v.	*
United States of America	*
Appellee.	*

Submitted: October 6, 2000
Filed: October 13, 2000

Before McMILLIAN, BOWMAN, and MORRIS SHEPPARD ARNOLD, Circuit Judges.

PER CURIAM.

Juan Cedillo appeals the district court's[1] dismissal of his motion for injunctive relief. Mr. Cedillo sought an order requiring the Immigration and Naturalization Service, among others, to cease all deportation proceedings involving various classes of persons, including the immediate family members of United States citizens. We conclude that the district court properly refused to grant the relief sought, which, as the court noted, is barred by statute, See 8 U.S.C. §

[1] The Honorable Gary A. Fenner, United States District Judge for the Western District of Missouri.

1252(f) (regardless of nature of action or claim, or of party bringing action, no court other than Supreme Court shall have jurisdiction to enjoin "operation of provisions of Part IV of this subchapter" except as applied to individual aliens against whom proceedings have been initiated); Reno v. Am. Arab Anti-Discrimination Comm., 525 U.S. 471, 481-82 (1999) (by its plain terms, § 1252(f) prohibits federal courts from granting classwide injunctive relief against operation of 8 U.S.C. §§ 1221-1231 (dealing with detention and deportation of aliens), but specifies that ban does not apply to individual cases).

Mr. Cedillo argues that the district court's rulings in this matter (including the court's grant of the government's properly filed motions for an enlargement of time to respond to Mr. Cedillo's motion) are indicative of a conspiracy between the court and the government. We reject this argument out of hand as frivolous.

Accordingly, we affirm.

A true copy.

Attest:

CLERK, U.S. COURT OF APPEALS, EIGHTH CIRCUIT.